Wisconsin DNR Testimony on SB-385 Operation of Motorboats, other then personal watercraft, at slow-no-wake speed within 100 ft of the shoreline of a lake.

Senate Environment and Natural Resources Committee

Thursday February 7, 2008 at 10:00 AM Room 201-SE

Mr. Chairman and Committee members, good morning. I am Roy Zellmer and I am the Boating Law Administrator for the DNR. I will provide testimony this morning in support of SB-385.

The current law prohibits motorboats other then personal watercraft from operating faster then slow-no-wake within a buoyed restricted area, swim raft or pier. There are no prohibitions for operating slow-no-wake within 100' of shoreline except for personal watercraft.

The department has received complaints in the past from the public about excessive speed of motorboats to close proximity of shorelines. These concerns raised were about safety of canoes and kayaks which often paddle along the shore line. Also, about swimmers who would use the lake in front of their lake side homes. More concerns brought up were about damage to personal property and shoreline erosion concerns. There was also an issue raised about damaging spawning beds and certain aquatic vegetation that may be necessary or needed in a good aquatic environment.

With the passage of this bill, we hope that many of these concerns raised by the public would be addressed and either eliminated or minimized with the slow-no-wake restriction for motorboats within 100' of shore.

This law could be an inconvenience on smaller lakes and or lakes with narrow channels. Recreational boaters may restrict to smaller areas of use when operating faster then slow-no-wake on these smaller lakes. We feel with the provision in the bill to allow local units of government to pass an ordinance exempting their body of water from this law this again allows those local officials and citizens to decide which is in the best interest of their lake.

We would again encourage your passage of this bill for its many benefits to boating public safety and positive environmental impact. Thank you. Do you have any questions of me?



s can be day meal

Stevens Point

Tuesday, April 18, 2000

www.stevenspointjournal.com

I MISS

By TROY LAACK and MELISSA LAKE for the Journal

PORT EDWARDS - It was a cold, dark and dreary day, but Monday evening brought closure to a tragic weekend for the family of a 22-year-old woman knocked out of a boat into Nepco Lake Friday.

"At least we found her," said Cheryl Vechinski, the mother of Gina Winters, town of Saratoga. "Before we found her, to be honest with you, I thought she was in the woods knocked unconscious ... at least we had hoped. Now, we can put her to rest.'

Vechinski, like Winters' other family members and several volunteers, searched and dragged the silt-laden waters for Winters throughout the day Monday. Her body was finally found at about 5:45 p.m. about 125-150 yards from the tree she hit close to the island home of Charles and JoAnn Lester, 300 Nepco Lake Road, Port Edwards.

"I'd like to thank all the volunteers and everybody who helped," Vechinski said. "There are no words that can express how we feel for the people that helped."

Wood County Sheriff Kurt Heuer, in a somber mood as he stood on the dock at the Lester home, only yards away from where Winters was found, said the body was recovered with a three-pronged hook attached to a nylon line.

"We were able to snag the pants of the young lady and bring her to surface," Heuer "Everybody here had one goal and one mission and that was to find this young lady and to help the family with that part of it. We're very fortunate, very happy that she was found today and my thoughts

See DROWNING, A5



LISA VECHINSKI, sister of drowning victim Gina Winters, holds a rosary and photo of Gina after a search resumed Monday.

From A1

Winters was among six people traveling in a westbound boat driven by her husband, Troy, 27. The boat was traveling about 25-30 mph, close to southern the shore Alexander Island when Gina Winters hit a tree that extended about 25 feet, almost horizontally, over the water.



•	
	그 그는 그는 이번 가는 한 사는 집에 살아 살아 먹는 그 가는 물이 되었다. 살살살 때 하는
	그 이 그는 바다 하지 않는 것은 것이 같아. 그렇지 이 점점, 이 그리고 있었습니다.
	그 그는 사람들은 불악 사고 있는데 가를 받은 경험하는데 되었다. 그는 그 사람들이 하는데
	보는 보고 있는 것이 바람에 하면 없는 것이 되었다. 그런 사람들은 사람들이 있습니다. 그는 사람들은 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들은 사람들이 되었다.
	이 그 그는 학교에 되었다고 된 것 같아요. 경기 발전으로 가 있는 생활, 것이 모양 모드
:	
	그는 그는 이렇게 살이 많아 보고 있는 그는 지난 가는 생각을 하는 것이 없는 것이다.
	는 그는 생물을 통한 경험을 가고 되는 것이 되는 것이 되는 것이 되었다. 그는 것이 그리고 있다는 것이 되었다. 그는 것이 되었다. 그는 것이 되었다. 그는 사람들은 경기를 통한 것이 되었다. 전쟁을 하는 것이 되었다. 그는 것을 하는 것이 되었다. 그는 것이 되었다. 그는 것을 하는 것이 되었다.
	그는 일반하다 하는 그들의 얼마를 하는 것이 되었다. 그는 그는 그는 그를 보고 있다면 하는 것이 없는 것이다.
	그는 중심학을 조금을 하는 책목 그는 그림에 되는 사람들에 모르는 사람들이 되었다. 그는 것은 그는 것이다.
	and a selection of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the con The configuration of the
	andre de la companya de la companya La companya de la co
	e Transport de la Contraction de la Contraction de Maria de Maria de Maria de la Contraction de la Contraction La contraction de la
	en de la composition de la composition La composition de la
	and the control of th
•	



Jim Brakken Wisconsin Association of Lakes, Inc Bayfield County Lakes Forum, Inc 45255 East Cable Lake Road, Cable, WI 54821 715-798-3163 Jim.Brakken@yahoo.com



To: Wisconsin Senate Committee on Environment and Natural Resources

Re: SB 385

Wisconsin has many wonderful lakes. We also have many good regulations in place to protect them. A much needed rule that will make lakes safer and healthier may soon be on the books.

Existing law requires a 100-foot slow-no-wake buffer near piers, landings and other man-made structures. While a 200-foot buffer exists for jet skis and similar craft near natural shoreline, the law does not cover motorboats to the detriment of public safety and the health of our lakes.

This proposed legislation would create a 100-foot buffer from natural shoreline for all boats. The measure includes an opt-out provision, allowing local government to have lakes excluded if they feel the law significantly impacts recreational use of the lake. Also, pick-up and drop zones for water skiers would also be excluded.

I have learned from UW Extension that when the original 100 foot from docks and rafts was written, the average outboard motor size was less than ten horsepower. Damage to the near shore area was not seen as a problem back then. Now the average outboard motor size approaches 100 horsepower. The motor size has changed and the regulations now need to catch up. The quality of the resource and the safety of swimmers and boaters is at stake.

Lakes throughout Wisconsin would benefit from the buffer for a number of reasons including:

Protection of plants and animals: Most creatures spend at least part of their life in the near shore area. Nesting birds and other creatures are easily driven out by aggressive boating near shore. 1

Less 'prop wash': Data shows that the stirring effect of prop wash in shallow waters brings up nutrients that had settled out, often resulting in algae blooms and the growth of unwanted aquatic weeds.¹

Protection of spawning areas: This rule will protect many millions of eggs and fry. 1

Protection of people: Children who swim and play near shore and fishermen, kayakers, pets, canoeists and others who use the waters near shore will be protected from fast boaters. *This regulation will save lives*.

Less erosion: This rule will go a long way in protecting shoreline from erosion, a major problem on many lakes. The eroded soil adds unwanted nutrients to the water and greatly reduces clarity.

Reduced noise pollution in the near shore area: One of the most desirable quality about lakes is the peace and quiet. Prohibiting fast boating near shore will help maintain the serenity of our lakes.

Boater safety: Boaters have suffered injury and death because they were traveling fast near shore. This rule will protect them as well as those who fish, swim, canoe, kayak or play in the water near shore. *This regulation will save lives.*²

In April, 2000, a 22 year-old woman was knocked out of a boat after striking an overhanging tree limb. It happened on Lake Nepco in Wood County. The driver was *legally* traveling about 25 mph, about 25 feet from shore, according to the Wood County Sheriff. Her body was recovered three days later.²

Wisconsin needs this law. A 100 foot-from-shore no-wake buffer will be healthier for our lakes and safer for those who use them. I urge everyone who values safe and healthy lakes to ask your Wisconsin Senator to support this important legislation. Thank you.

2/7/08

Respectively submitted,

Jim Brakken, Wisconsin Association of Lakes Past President and Director Emeritus

Bayfield County Lakes Forum President

Fin Brall

Delegate to the Wisconsin Conservation Congress

Northwest Wisconsin Water Resource Consortium Executive Officer

Thank you for the opportunity to share my feelings about SB 385 with you.

My name is Jim Brakken. I live in the Town of Cable in Bayfield County, within an hour's drive of over 2,000 lakes and many miles of streams.

I am a retired public school teacher who now spends most of his time as a volunteer working to protect and preserve our lakes.

I am the founder and past president of the Cable Lake Association and the AIS Coordinator for the Town of Cable.

I am also the President of the Bayfield County Lakes Forum, a citizen's group representing over 20 lake associations in Bayfield County.

I speak also today for the Northwest Wisconsin Water Resource Consortium representing the countywide lake associations from 16 counties in the northwest.

Although I am a Delegate to the Wisconsin Conservation Congress, I am not speaking for the Congress on this issue. I believe the Wisconsin Conservation Congress will deliver its own statement on SB 385.

Finally, I am a Past President and Director Emeritus of the Wisconsin Association of Lakes and sole recipient of the 2003 Wisconsin Lake Stewardship Award.



s can be day meal /

Stevens Point OULL OULL Tuesday, April 18, 2000

www.stevenspointjournal.com

Body of missing woman found

By TROY LAACK and MELISSA LAKE for the Journal

PORT EDWARDS — It was a cold, dark and dreary day, but Monday evening brought closure to a tragic weekend for the family of a 22-year-old woman knocked out of a boat into Nepco Take Friday.

"At least we found her," said Cheryl Vechinski, the mother of Gina Winters, town of Saratoga. "Before we found her, to be honest with you, I thought she was in the woods knocked unconscious ... at least we had hoped. Now, we can put her to rest."

Vechinski, like Winters' other family members and several volunteers, searched and dragged the silt-laden waters for Winters throughout the day Monday. Her body was finally found at about 5:45 p.m. about 125-150 yards from the tree she hit close to the island

home of Charles and JoAnn Lester, 300 Nepco Lake Road, Port

"I'd like to thank all the volunteers and everybody who helped," Vechinski said. "There are no words that can express how we feel for the people that helped."

Wood County Sheriff Kurt Heuer, in a somber mood as he stood on the dock at the Lester home, only yards away from where Winters was found, said the body was recovered with a three-pronged hook attached to a nylon line.

"We were able to snag the pants of the young lady and bring her to the surface," Heuer said. "Everybody here had one goal and one mission and that was to find this young lady and to help the family with that part of it. We're very fortunate, very happy that she was found today and my thoughts

See DROWNING, A5



LISA VECHINSKI, sister of drowning victim Gina Winters, holds a rosary and photo of Gina after a search resumed Monday.

From A1

Winters was among six people traveling in a westbound boat driven by her husband, Troy, 27. The boat was traveling about 25-30 mph, close to the southern shore of Alexander Island when Gina Winters hit a tree that extended about 25 feet, almost horizontally, over the water.



Wisconsin's 'SAFE LAKES' law

Where it came from, where it's headed Jim Brakken, BCLF, WCC & 'Safe Lakes' resolution author

History of SB 385

In 1998, serving as a Wisconsin Association of Lakes Director I was appointed to WAL's Ad Hoc Boating Regulations Committee. We had been asked by the Governor to simplify some of the boating regs. I offered that the existing rule requiring fast moving boats to stay at least 100 feet from docks, rafts, landings and buoyed areas was not adequately protecting the near-shore area nor the many boaters, fishermen and swimmers who use this water. I proposed we apply the 200 foot rule required of personal watercraft (such as Jet Skis) to all fast moving watercraft. Although the proposal was not accepted by the DNR at that time, I continued working with WAL to see this boating safety rule advance.

Then, on April 14, 2000, a 22 year-old woman was knocked out of a boat after striking an overhanging tree limb. It happened on Lake Nepco, not far from Wisconsin Rapids. The driver was *legally* traveling about 25 mph, about 25 feet from shore, according to the Wood County Sheriff. Gina Winter's body was recovered three days later. I added the news clipping to my file. I also renewed my pledge to bring my proposal to the attention of our lawmakers, knowing that this regulation could save lives as well as lakes.

During my next 6 years with WAL, I continued pressing for a no-wake buffer between aggressive boaters and undeveloped shore. I drafted a resolution and, with the help of several fellow WAL Directors, pitched it to the Wisconsin Conservation Congress. The proposal appeared in the Spring Hearing booklet and, although it was well received across the state, the WCC rejected it. Pressing back discouragement, we made some adjustments in the resolution. We now included an 'opt out' provision so local units of government could exclude lakes they felt would have recreational opportunities severely reduced. We also insisted that water ski pick-up and drop zones would not be affected. We pitched it to the Conservation Congress again, this time framing it as a boating safety resolution. Three years later all 72 counties approved it at the Spring Hearings. Four out of five voters had approved it, an excellent demonstration of the public's desire to see this rule succeed. WAL listed it on the top tier of their three-tier list of legislative priorities.

There are no good reasons to go fast near shore but many reasons to support the 'Safe Lakes' bill. A 100 foot-from-shore no-wake buffer will be healthier for our lakes and safer for those who use them. Wisconsin's lakes need this law.

		• ,				
						10-
`			•			$_{I}$
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		*			
				•		
	10 d		4			
	e a serie					
					•	
		The second of the second		(+ 11+		
						•
	100					
	English State					
		The Artist Control of the Artist	and the second second	5. 5.	•	
			Age of the			
		$\{(\underline{z}_{i_1,\ldots,i_{k+1}},\ldots,\underline{z}_{i_k})_{i_k,\ldots,i_{k+1}}\}$		100		
			•			
	5.					
					•	
	t de la companya de l		$(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$			
			Contract to the contract of			
						.*
		Karangan Kabupatèn		. 7.		
				1000		
				4.5		
				•	-	
*						

	en de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la c					
			A STATE OF THE STA			
	$(A_{ij}) \in \{0, \dots, n_{ij}\}$					
	•		and the second		-	
			*	,		
			e e e			
	4					
				•		
`,			•			
			•	•		
			• •			
	ric.					-
	e					
and the second s	and the second second					



Wisconsin Association of Lakes

A nonprofit group of citizens, organizations, and businesses working for clean, safe, healthy lakes for everyone.

4513 Vernon Boulevard, Suite 101 • Madison, WI 53705-4964 608-661-4313 • 800-542-5253 (in WI) • 608-661-4314 fax wal@wisconsinlakes.org • www.wisconsinlakes.org

Senate Committee on Environment and Natural Resources Chair Senator Mark Miller Room 409 South, State Capitol P.O. Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882 February 7, 2008

Dear Senator Miller and Committee members:

Thank you for the opportunity to voice our support for SB 385.

Ensuring that recreational boating is safe for lake users, and does not cause unintended declines in lake water quality is an important priority for many of our Lake District and lake association members.

Establishing slow-no-wake zones in local boating ordinances is a common approach that balances different recreational uses with natural resource needs. More than 500 local boating ordinances have been passed across the state on lakes greater than 50 acres.

Currently there is **no** statewide slow-no-wake restriction for motorboats within a given distance of a shoreline. Boating too close to shorelines can negatively impact sensitive shorelines when:

- > nearshore wave action contributes to shoreline erosion problems,
- > propellers stir up lake bed sediments, reducing water clarity,
- > nutrients, like phosphorus, are released from disturbed lake bed sediments, which can cause problems such as algae blooms.
- > propellers damage or uproot shoreland plants, which provide fish habitat.

A statewide slow no wake zone *is* required for boats operating within a 100 feet of a man-made structure to reduce the likelihood of damaging that structure. We feel our shorelines are equally important and deserve the same level of protection. State law also requires a no-wake-zone for personal watercraft within 200 feet of the shoreline, and we feel other boats should be subject to comparable regulations. SB 385 also includes an opt-out provision, allowing local government to exclude lakes if the law significantly impacts recreation.

This bill will help reduce the negative impacts on our lakes caused by boating too close to shore and improve safety for citizens who are fishing, swimming, and paddling in nearshore areas.

Thank you for your support on this issue.

Tamara Jackson

Wisconsin Association of Lakes Director of Communications

Name and the second

and the second s

and make pathops of the first audit

The contracting section for the contraction of the section of the contraction of

Secure is eligible to the progression of the control of the contro

en de la company de la comp La company de la company d La company de la company de

ou se servició a refore o el culture esta electron de la servició en el electro del ciestro de la companiació Associationes estados el electroscopo de la companiació de la electron de la companiació de la companiació de

the conference was the formation of the feet of the second

and the expension of the equipment of the expension of the property of the

orando e agrico y la fronte e en partir e en 11 desembre de la compansión de la contrarior pública de la compa La francia de la compansión de la compansión

of the first contribute the contribution of th

A to the Control of the Control of Contro

. Describerados de apropeia de la como en escriberado de contrator de la composición de escriberado de la comp La composición de la

Associated and a state of the s

The contract of the second sec